

---

## INTRODUCTION

---

DAVID LOYE

If we take a careful look at what happened to our species scientifically and socially during the twentieth century, two rather unsettling facts become apparent. The first is that we are being shoved into a twenty-first century laden with immense challenges and the most serious kind of questions bearing on the human future with a scientific theory and story of evolution based almost entirely on the study of the past and the *prehuman* and the *subhuman*. The second is that some day it will likely be recognized that the single greatest shortcoming of twentieth century science was its failure to achieve a fully human theory and story of evolution.

By “fully human” theory and story I mean that twentieth-century science did a magnificent job of making both the theory and the story of cosmic evolution come vividly to life for us via physics. It also gave us what seemed to be a reasonably solid and gripping theory of biological evolution via chemistry and biology. But when it tried to deal with the explosion out of nature of higher brain, mind, consciousness, and everything else that came to characterize the emergence of our species and our impact on this planet, it fell so far short of what was needed as to be laughable if it weren’t so tragic.

Tragic? How so? I have found the quickest way to make the point is to consider this line of thought. As Silvan Tomkin’s script theory (Carlson, 1995) and our personal experience tells us, we live by story—on this most of us will agree. But what about the completion for this thought that logically seems to follow today from the drum beat of the daily news? We live by story—and the story we live by is driving our species to extinction.

Is this true? Isn’t this increasingly the message of the futurists and environmental scientists who on the behalf of our species keep looking ahead?

If this is true, then what do we do? Could it be that if we change (i.e., update and complete) the theory, we can change the story, and by changing theory and story thereby we can bypass the road to extinction and go on to build the better world?

The purpose of this book is to accelerate the development of a full spectrum, action-oriented—that is, fully human—theory of evolution using two historical streams within science.

One of these historical streams is that of the incisive power of systems science. The other is the diversity of psychology that led to the heady originating vision of Abraham Maslow and others for humanistic, transpersonal, and positive psychology.

If we are to achieve the fully human theory of evolution that the situation of our species requires, more and more it looks like it must primarily involve a new working partnership between people in these fields with a subsequent widening of involvement throughout all fields of social and natural science. This book—with the good news of what looks like a significant advance in evolution theory to report—is to that end.

## GERG AND EVOLUTIONARY SYSTEMS SCIENCE

Among the great or “way station” names in the vision and development of evolutionary systems science are Ludwig von Bertalanffy, Kenneth Boulding, Eric Jantsch, and Ilya Prigogine.<sup>1</sup>

The original papers that have been expanded into this book were the culmination of a particularly dramatic but still relatively unknown development in late twentieth century science involving a fifth notable founder, systems philosopher Ervin Laszlo. This development was the formation of the General Evolution Research Group, or GERG as became its acronym. The world was still shuddering under the threat of potential nuclear holocaust when in 1984, toward the close of the Cold War, those of us who later formed GERG were called by Laszlo to Budapest—then still under Russian control—to see if we might help him build what I have ever since thought was one of the great visions out of the often radically diminished horizon for the science of the twentieth century.

This was Laszlo’s vision of an action-oriented theory of general evolution that might be used to end the insanity into which our species has fallen. Long range, it was the vision of an evolution theory that might go beyond the scientific stalemate of a fixation on biology and the past to incorporate the vast advances in social, systems, and futures science that

for a century were almost wholly neglected in the development of mainstream evolution theory. It was the vision of an evolution theory with ourselves—we humans, *our* species—at the leading edge, equipped to focus on gaining a better future for this earth and all living systems.

However pressing this might be, the short-range prospect was of more immediate and indeed very great urgency. We were meeting in a Hungary still ringed with a double wall of barbed wire and armed Russian guards, with thousands of tons in nuclear overkill for both sides of the Cold War in the background. What rather quickly gripped us was the vision of a theory that might be used not merely to understand but to save ours and all other species. Chaos theory was then just coming into vogue. The idea was immense but basically simple: Why not use chaos theory to find a way of guiding our species through the social, political, and economic chaos we faced to an evolutionary stage of a higher and better order?

In other words, why not try to fund and develop a theory of evolution that might be used by the thinking people of this earth and an enlightened social leadership to guide our species through the time of immense troubles now facing us to reach the higher plateau for humanity, long the dream of the great spiritual as well as scientific visionaries?

It was an awesome, electrifying, and at times very funny experience none of us who were there will ever forget. It was the beginning of what I am still convinced—particularly if driven by the fresh energies and vision of the student and teacher involvement I spell out in the last two chapters of this book—can become the great adventure for both the science and the everyday life of our time.

In the years after Budapest, with Laszlo acting as an impresario might in personally selecting singers for an opera or members for an orchestra, GERG expanded to include thirty-five scientists in all the major fields of social as well as natural science. Truly multinational, as well as multidisciplinary, in scope, and coming from fourteen nations throughout Europe, Asia, and the United States, we met in Florence, Bologna, Vienna, Finland, Germany, Sardinia, and again in Budapest to try to move toward the goal of building the better theory.<sup>2</sup>

Like thousands of other scientists worldwide who have tried to expand and update a paradigm for evolution theory that has fallen woefully, if not disastrously, behind the times, we also published an immense amount of work in this direction in our journal *World Futures: The Journal of General Evolution* and other scientific journals.<sup>3</sup> But such have been the difficulties facing every attempt to move beyond the death grip of old scientific, political, and social paradigms that attaining even small gains

was a frustrating and painful experience. Indeed, so little progress was made by us or anyone else toward implementing the originating goals of our General Evolution Research Group that by the winter of 1999–2000 I came to the conclusion that something must be done to light a new fire under the original vision.

Under the sponsorship of the International Society for Systems Sciences (ISSS) and twenty other organizations, the kickoff for twenty-first century systems science was to be a World Congress of the Systems Sciences that would draw scientists from all regions of the planet to Toronto, Ontario, July 16–21, 2000. Hoping for the best, I pulled together some fellow GERGites for two panels and a general discussion on the subject of what the full-spectrum, action-oriented theory should look like and how to build it. Early versions of most of the papers that became the chapters in this book were the result.<sup>4</sup>

#### THE FITFUL COURTSHIP OF PSYCHOLOGY AND EVOLUTION THEORY

In the formation of GERG I was one of two psychologists concerned. By the time of the Toronto World Congress another with a paper in this book, Allan Combs, had joined us. A third psychologist, Ruth Richards, is another coauthor here.

The history of the relation of psychology to evolution theory is pivotal to understanding where we have been and where we now must go. Looking back, as a whole it seems to me this relation may best be characterized as the prolonged courtship of a most enticing prospective mate with great hopes, but which again and again led to immense frustration and disaffected withdrawal by the “lovesick” psychologist.

As I bring out in my introductory chapter on the relation of Darwin to Maslow in this book, this courtship began with Darwin himself in regard to cognitive and humanistic psychology. On his death Darwin left all his papers on psychology to his disciple George Romanes, who went on not only to become a leading British psychologist but also the first to lament what was again and again to block any chance that psychology might successfully mate with evolution theory. The problem was the “rival”—that is, the fierce possession of evolution theory by biology and its adroit exclusion of all other suitors.

Already, only ten years after Darwin’s death, why was there a move afoot by biologists to “hide certain parts of Darwin’s teaching, and give undue prominence to others,” Romanes asks in *Darwin and After Darwin*, published in 1892.<sup>5</sup> Whether “the misrepresentation be due to any

unfavourable bias against one side of his teaching, or to sheer carelessness in the reading of his books," it was inexcusable that the "Neo-Darwinians"—for it was Romanes who first coined the phrase in this book—should "positively reverse" Darwin's teachings. Too often the ostensible Darwinians were "unjustifiably throwing over [their] own opinions the authority of Darwin's name," Romanes charged.<sup>6</sup>

"I myself believe that Darwin's judgement with regard to all these points will eventually prove more sound and accurate than that of any of the recent would-be improvers upon his system," Romanes predicted—a prediction I believe this book and one other now fulfills more than a hundred years later.<sup>7</sup>

The next attempt to court evolution theory came with no less a founding father for humanistic psychology than William James, although the ill-fated James Mark Baldwin and Romanes's disciple Lloyd Morgan were more heavily involved. Perceiving the need for a new concept to account for evolution at the level of human emergence, they proposed—in keeping with Darwin's own already forgotten observation and admonition—the idea of "organic selection" as the higher developmental alternative to natural selection. The concept was meant to provide a way of bringing the psychology of learning, experience, and choice by both group and individual into a theory of evolution that might then seamlessly segue from biological into cultural evolution.

But fate then intervened to further set up biology not just as the rival for the hand of evolution theory but as really the only proper suitor. Baldwin—who in pursuit of Darwin's most important ignored emphasis also happened to be pioneering the psychology of moral development—was discovered in a black brothel in Baltimore by a newspaper reporter (Richards, 1987). The scandal not only forced Baldwin to flee to France but also seemed to help slam the door on the whole field of psychology through guilt by association.

It also so effectively scuttled the disruptive insight of "organic selection" that it took the better part of a century for the idea to fight its way back into mainstream scientific consciousness. This came with the rediscovery not only of what came to be called "the Baldwin effect" (Depew, 2000), but with more of the irony that repeatedly overlays the underlying tragedy of this story. What had in fact been blanked out was the reality—again originally perceived by Darwin and then ignored—of what by the end of the century was to spread like wild fire throughout both natural and social science as the evolutionary relevance of "self-organizing processes" (Jantsch, 1980; Capra, 1996).

Next out of the field of psychology along came John Dewey and Jean Piaget as suitors for the hand of evolution theory (Dewey, 1922; Piaget,

1965). Their try was especially meaningful as, in keeping with Darwin's long-ignored and Baldwin's ill-fated passion for *moral* evolution theory, both Dewey and Piaget were eminent moral theorists. Piaget was also uniquely equipped as a biologist as well as a psychologist. But as Dewey and Piaget became celebrities in the field of education, and other interests took them elsewhere, their suit was easily deflected by the hordes of biologists who came to the courtship ready equipped with the seemingly safe and familiar tale and the proper cologne of the Neo-Darwinian paradigm, by now entrenched seemingly beyond all contesting in the textbooks from grade school through graduate studies.

Next came the psychologist most likely headed for a major revival of interest in the twenty-first century, Kurt Lewin. If we look at his work again today—of which Ray Bradley's chapter provides a glimpse in this book—it can be seen that Lewin was not just the so-called father of social psychology and group dynamics. It becomes increasingly apparent that here was the precursor genius within psychology for chaos and complexity theories and the range of implications for the needed wedding of evolutionary systems science with psychology that confronts us today (Lewin, 1951; Marrow, 1969; Loye, 1971).

And so via hop, skip, and jump we come to Abraham Maslow, Roberto Assagioli, and Kazimierz Dabrowski. Historically, Maslow stated most effectively both the initial and long-range vision for humanistic, transpersonal, and, most recently, positive psychology. In the end what do we seek? It is to develop the Good Person and the Good Society, Maslow said (1971). In other words, our evolutionary goal is not to bypass or transcend ourselves, but to *fulfill* ourselves. Assagioli and Dabrowski intensified this emphasis. As survivors of the Nazi devastation of Europe and the global threat of fascism, to Assagioli and Dabrowski this key twentieth-century event and World War II underscored the need for a new understanding and advancement of both moral and spiritual evolution (Assagioli, 1965, 1973; Dabrowski, 1964).<sup>8</sup>

"Superficiality, vulgarity, absence of inner conflict, quick forgetting of grave experiences, became something repugnant to me," Dabrowski wrote in proclaiming a heroic stance both for humanistic psychology and for the wedding of psychology with evolution theory. "I searched for people and attitudes of a different kind, those that were authentically ideal, saturated with immutable values, those who represented 'what ought to be' against 'what is.'" (Piechowski, 1975, p. 234). Reinforcing these goals, Assagioli wrote: "Before the threatening attitude of an unfair superior or when facing an excited mob, when personal reasons would induce us to yield, the will gives us the power to say resolutely: 'No! At all costs I stand by my convictions; I will perform what I take to be right.'" (Assagioli, 1973, p. 8).

Thereafter, as the recent *Handbook of Humanistic Psychology*, *Handbook of Positive Psychology*, and sources for transpersonal psychology such as *Paths beyond Ego* make apparent, there were advances.<sup>9</sup> But talk to humanistic, transpersonal, and positive psychologists today and many will express a feeling of an earlier high point and since then an underlying decline that has brought psychology to a new decision point. Since the Maslow days important contributions have been made to the fields of humanistic, transpersonal, and positive psychology, and the human potentials movement generally. James Bugental, Stan Krippner, Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi, Ken Wilber, Frans de Waal, Jean Houston, Joanna Macy, Jean Baker Miller, Ravenna Helson, and Jeanne Achterberg are among many mentioned in this regard. There is also wistful talk of a renaissance. But again, as with systems science, it seems to be the tale for science across the board of a sense of failure to live up to the visions of the founders. For what has happened to the vision of the Good Person and the Good Society?

That somehow the steam has gone from the dream came across with the fairly recent emergence of the field of positive psychology—apparently in answer to the feeling of its founders that humanistic and transpersonal psychology weren't living up to earlier hopes. But much earlier—in response to the sense of a quasi-vacuum once filled with high aspiration that one can find in talking to old hands across the board for all fields of social science—something else profoundly bearing on this situation happened that has been generally overlooked. For out of the reductionist box of Neo-Darwinism and sociobiology there moved to close out the century with a bang the most effective of psychology's suitors for the hand of evolution theory. Driven by the dynamics of a radical rightward shift in national politics—which seized upon the books of this “new school” to legitimize what often seemed an attempt at an across-the-board rollback for human evolution—this was the shotgun wedding of the most adroit practitioner yet of biological determinism. Swiftly moving to take over both key posts in academia and the mass impact of trade publishing with its enormous advantage of bookstore distribution, the new field called itself evolutionary psychology.<sup>10</sup>

Not since the early days of American behaviorism has a new school of psychology trashed the views of all others with such arrogance and ignorance. This has sold books, but degraded science. But behind the off putting ballyhoo there happens to lie a critique of the status quo that offers humanistic psychology and transpersonal psychology—as well as positive psychology and both systems science and social science more generally—more than just food for thought. More importantly, should all parties rise to the challenge, out of what is now often acrimonious dissension loom the prospects for a regrouping for the hoped-for renaissance.

A critique one hears of humanistic psychology is that it became too much a matter of catering to the needs of the comparatively well-off upper-middle and upper class for therapy and entertainment. By contrast, in keeping with Kurt Lewin's earlier emphasis, the brash new field of evolutionary psychology focused anew on the problems of violence and the lower class threatening to tear society apart.<sup>11</sup> A critique one hears of transpersonal psychology is that it too often became a matter of the self-righteous celebration of a spiritual evolution devoid of the age-old essential link for spirituality with moral evolution. By contrast, in keeping with the basic concern for Assagioli and Dabrowski, as well as Darwin, evolutionary psychology focused on what drives and shapes morality as a bedrock concern for a society going, one might with justice say, to hell in a handcart.<sup>12</sup>

Most importantly—recognizing this as the central structural weakness for the social science of the twentieth century—the best of the evolutionary psychologists focused on trying to link and bind together the sprawl of social science to the evolution theory from which, ironically, biology had excluded psychology for more than a century.

And here is the still greater irony the chapters of this book underline. All this new work in the needed directions by this most successful of evolution theory's suitors rests on the quicksand of a scientific half-truth to which humanistic, transpersonal, and positive psychology hold the completion. But in wandering from the Maslowian high point, they have lost sight of this pivotal fact.

In the last chapter I will return to this purposely provocative statement to describe what now looms not only as enticing territory for antagonists within science to band together to explore. What lies ahead here, chapter by chapter, begins to make the case, I think, for the development of a fully human theory *and* story of human evolution as the single greatest challenge facing the science of the twenty-first century.

## A CALL TO ACTION AND A BRIEF SUMMARY OF PAPERS

And so we come to what makes this book different and what its chapters have to offer toward building a full-spectrum, action-oriented—fully human—theory of evolution. In the midst of all the contradictory channels of thought about evolution, in 1985 psychologist Stanley Krippner, along with three of his students, published a paper of historic importance. Although Krippner was at the time a former president of the Association of Humanistic Psychology (AHP) and a founding member of the AHP-launched Saybrook Graduate School, as well as a noted investigator of the

paranormal phenomena that transpersonal psychology was beginning to make respectable, typically this paper escaped the notice of all but a discerning handful who were ready for it in the emerging field of evolutionary systems science and psychology.

“At present, HP [humanistic psychology] lacks a commonly-understood scientific paradigm to provide a theoretical framework with which to develop and evaluate models, methods, research, theories and therapies,” Krippner and his students wrote in “Toward the Application of General Systems Theory in Humanistic Psychology,” which appeared in the journal *Systems Research*. “We believe that GST [General Systems Theory] can perform just such a service to HP” (Krippner, Rutenber, Engelman, and Granger, 1985, p. 113). A quote from Von Bertalanffy underlined the relationship: “Analysis has to proceed at two levels: that of *phenomenology*, that of direct experience, encompassing perception of outside things, feelings, thinking, willing, etc; and of *conceptual constructs*, the reconstruction of direct experience in systems of symbols, culminating in science” (Ibid).

It is from this point in our story that this book takes the next logical step: to probe how, in order to become fully effective and deeply meaningful, systems science and humanistic, transpersonal, and positive psychology—as well as all other fields of psychology and social science more generally—can join in a new and higher venturing for the *evolutionary perspective*. Working together rather than at war with one another, we can help expand the mind of our species to embrace movement through time, from past, to present, to a better future in terms of action. The trajectory of active agents interacting with the active natural and social environment can take us through and beyond the future we fear to the future that has been the destiny for our species for at least 100,000 years. In short, we’ll explore what a fully human theory of evolution should look like and how to build it.

This book opens with two background statements originally written to set the stage for the discussions of the GERG members and other scientists in 2000 during the World Congress of the Systems Sciences. First is the Toronto Manifesto, which characterizes Neo-Darwinian biology as the first venture, then sociobiology and evolutionary psychology as the second venture in twentieth century science’s attempt to build a general theory of evolution that might adequately handle the prime matter to us of *human* evolution. Now the time has come for the third venture, for the range of evolutionary systems science beyond the inadequacies of its predecessors to provide humanity with much more of what is needed to update what in many respects still remains a “horse and buggy” level theory and story of evolution in an age of rocket-speed social and environmental urgencies.

This is the first of two vital grounding perspectives for the reader to keep in mind in relation to what lies ahead. The other is the perspective of a first and a second Darwinian revolution.

Following the Toronto Manifesto, in "Darwin, Maslow, and the Fully Human Theory of Evolution," is an updated report on the experience out of my own years of research into the processes and theories of evolution that woke me up and shocked me into all the ups and downs that await those forced in any way to go "up against the paradigm." This was my discovery of what many bright and well-intentioned scientists, in all innocence aided and abetted by educators throughout the twentieth century, had unwittingly done to Charles Darwin. Misused for more than a century as an icon to legitimize an ultimately degrading vision of the nature and potential for our species, Darwin, I discovered, had actually gone on to write a completion for his theory that at the level of *human* evolution almost wholly contradicts the science that has claimed his name. Both the nature of the shock and the challenge is perhaps most quickly indicated by the fact that in *The Descent of Man*—and this nearly a hundred years earlier, clearly anticipating the rise of humanistic psychology—Darwin actually wrote of "love" ninety-five times, of "the moral sense" ninety-two times, and "mind" ninety times versus only twice about "survival of the fittest."

Darwin's theory of evolution as it originally became known brought on a wide-ranging, nonviolent revolution affecting not only science but our society at all levels. Set in motion nearly one hundred fifty years ago now, how variation and natural selection interact became the revolutionary core to the theory that is standard knowledge for practically every textbook used at all levels throughout the West as well as an increasingly large part of the educational system in the East today. But what I came to see was that in the startling, long ignored humanistic completion for his theory, in anticipating what was to come for the expansion of science throughout the twentieth century, Darwin was writing of what in our time has become in effect a second Darwinian revolution. That is, what Darwin wrote of extensively only to be almost wholly ignored are what have often since then become modern discoveries in practically every field of science that vastly expand our understanding of evolution beyond what the first Darwinian revolution established. All too often similarly excluded for much of a century from what is today almost universally taught as mainstream evolution theory, it is the consolidation of these discoveries of the second Darwinian revolution into the full spectrum, action oriented, or fully human theory that is both the great task and the great adventure for the science of the twenty-first century that this book explores.

*Part I: The Evolutionary Base in Physics and Biology* begins with what seems to me an ideal stage-setting paper by systems philosopher and pioneering general evolution theorist Ervin Laszlo. In “Matter and Mind: The New Holism and the Greater Humanity” Laszlo provides the grounding for a comprehensive theory of evolution in the natural sciences, moving from there into the realm and the challenges of human emergence, which is our concern here. Laszlo’s picture of the “dynamics of society’s periodic paradigm-shifts” is especially thought provoking. In view of the scientific complexities and difficulties that inevitably lie ahead, I would also note—particularly for the student’s benefit—the advantage of getting underway with a paper that, despite its profundities, is easy to read.

Biologist Stanley Salthe is next with “Biology and beyond Biology: The Natural Path to the Future.” As a fortuitous play both on Salthe’s name and nature, I would say this is a “salty” mix of a critique of “hegemonic” Neo-Darwinism within an enormous range of knowledge of the classic theories of evolution, as well as the contemporary alternatives—all of which offer our species a much better platter to select from than was the prevailing fare for the twentieth century.

*Part II: The Cultural Base in the Brain and Systems of Love vs. Domination* opens with a paper that demonstrates why evolution theorist Riane Eisler was named in a book edited by the well-known Swedish humanist scholar Johan Galtung and futurist Sohail Inayatullah as one of the world’s twenty most important macrohistorians, along with such luminaries as Adam Smith, Karl Marx, and Arnold Toynbee (Galtung and Inatullah, 1998). Chapter 3, “A Multilinear Theory of Cultural Evolution,” shows how the broadening of system science to encompass cutting edge research on the impact of the brain and culture of family and gender relations leads to a revolutionary new understanding of evolution. Drawing from biology, sociology, anthropology, archeology, and other disciplines, Eisler brings to life how, underlying the full range of human relationships from intimate to international are two basic social structures: the domination model and the partnership model. The chapter shows how the tension between these two models has shaped history, and how the outcome of this tension is key to fulfillment or extinction for our species.

Next comes a piece that, because of its length and the difficulties of the fields it seeks to bridge and draw on, many readers may find to be the most challenging in this volume. This is chapter 4, “Love, Power, Brain, Mind, and Agency” by sociologist Raymond Bradley. I mention the difficulty in order to encourage the reader to persist with this chapter because of the rare importance of the work and the findings it reports. Working with one of the two greatest living brain scientists, Karl Pribram—their work a mix of physics and mathematics as well as sociology and

psychology—Bradley has gone to the heart of what animates evolution in mother-child relations, in communes and other small groups, and thereby humanity as a whole.<sup>13</sup>

And so after a century of what has all too often been much talk with little or no action, we come to the question: What are we going to do about all this? How are we actually going to expand and update our theory of evolution to at last provide a really useful source of both scientific inspiration and scientific guidance for humanity?

Part III: The Higher Reaches of Creativity and Consciousness opens with chapter 5 “Creativity, Consciousness, and the Building of an Integral World,” by evolutionary systems scientist Sally Goerner. Along with Eisler’s, this chapter is animated by the exciting prospects for more women to move into the historically male-dominated realm of science. Goerner is a cofounder and twice president of the Society for the Study of Chaos Theory in Psychology and the Life Sciences (SSCTPLS), and her chapter is a tour de force. A rare achievement in linking each step for evolution from the very beginning into now and the prospects for our future, it applies a broad spectrum of the new sciences to no less than the problem of species survival.

The next chapter addresses what may be the single most important technical problem facing the builders of the better theory. How, out of the cacophony of countless symposia and the obscurity of countless journals, do you find and forge agreements on how to move ahead? In other words, as science moves beyond the mechanistic simplicities and formulas of the old paradigm it has in effect become a Tower of Babel. Most urgent in trying to visualize and then build the greater theory is the question of how we can find a way to dig down through the prolixity of concepts and languages to find consensus on the commonalities of perception across all fields. How do we reach any working agreement on the prime factors—the key variables, primary patterns, and dynamics? In chapter 6, “Technology to Liberate Rather than Imprison Consciousness,” systems scientist Ken Bausch and Club of Rome cofounder and president for ISSS Alexander Christakis explain how this can be done using the power of a new computerized methodology.

Last among these papers is the joint effort of three thinkers well known to most humanistic and transpersonal psychologists, “Creativity, Consciousness, and the Direction for Human Development,” by systems scientist Alfonso Montuori of the California Institute for Integral Studies, psychologist Allan Combs of the University of North Carolina and Saybrook Graduate School, and psychologist Ruth Richards of Saybrook and Harvard University. This piece is perhaps best described in terms of a word out of Montuori’s early years as the saxophone-playing founder of a jazz band while he worked on the side as an Italian translator for Scot-

land Yard in London. Covering a rather amazing range of studies, including the ins and outs and relevancies of chaos theory, this chapter is a “riff” or free-associational play for this trio on the role of creativity and consciousness in evolution.

Part IV: The Darwinian End Game opens with chapter eight, “What Should It Look Like? Foundations and Guidelines for the Fully Human Theory: A Summary of Chapters 1 through 7.” Here I summarize the foundations and guidelines that one may discern in this remarkable set of contributions. For easy referencing I am listing them here as well as in chapter eight.

### *Foundations*

1. The initiating drive, unfolding, and enfolding embrace of energy.
2. The living biological base.
3. Inadequacy of the Neo-Darwinian hegemony.
4. The basic requirement for a developmental perspective.
5. Learning from nature.
6. The revolutionary perspective of modern brain research.
7. The revolutionary perspective of a gender-sensitized realignment of our understanding of human cultural origins and dynamics.
8. Importance of incorporating economic and political evolution within the building of an adequate theory.
9. Importance of technological evolution.
10. Importance of educational evolution.
11. The feeling for evolution as story as well as theory.
12. The centrality of moral evolution.
13. The evolution of love, consciousness, and the drive of creativity in “conscious evolution.”
14. The basic requirement of a multilevel vision of the ideality of person and society.
15. The basic requirement of the perspective of the human agent, and all other levels for “self-organizing processes,” and the action orientation in coevolution.
16. The considerably overdue revival of the dialectical perspective in the development of evolution theory.
17. Convergence out of independent minds and works on the development of a new twenty-first-century perspective on core theory for evolution.

### *Guidelines*

1. Break out of the prison of the “old” paradigm.
2. Break out of the dominator trance.
3. Use action research as well as basic research to shape theory.

4. Gain operational consensus on basic concepts.
5. Gain a new grip on the dynamics of evolution.
6. Redefine evolution in terms of all, rather than only one or two, developmental levels.
7. Explore points of evolutionary consensus as well as differences between science and spirituality.
8. Work toward consensus on unifying frameworks and imagery . . .
9. . . . but explore the ignored, the repressed, and new visions before locking into new paradigms.
10. Replace the current scientific perspective of no meaningful direction or purpose to life or evolution with a search for the new personal and social rudder of moral direction.

In particular, I call your attention to the sequence of foundations 14, 15, 16, and 17. Here, with reference to the chapters by Laszlo, Salthe, Bradley, Eisler, and Goerner for substance, is briefly developed what I believe may quite possibly be the first significant advance for twenty-first-century evolution theory involving people who are all, as of this writing, still living and among us.

Last, in chapter 9, out of my years as a psychologist, systems scientist, evolution theorist, and working research scientist, I venture my own conclusions. Picking up where I left off earlier in this introduction, in chapter 9, "How Do We Build It? Of Systems Science, Psychology, Students, Teachers, and the Destruction or Liberation of Humanity," we look at the social implications of the science of the half-truth versus the prospect for an alliance to build some reasonable approximation of the whole truth.

This book has been written and put together not just for scientists but also for everyone in the humanities, in theology, and for the general reader interested in the great adventure that life can become with the new understanding of and involvement in evolution that it reports. I am particularly hopeful, however, of something coming from my proposal in the last two chapters of a new way for a new generation of students in collaboration with teachers to actually kick-start the building (that is, updating and completing) of the theory and story that is needed. To this end: among the appendices in this book are sample course outlines for a full academic year, for teachers of any field with an interest in evolution, using this book as a basic text. A web site, [www.thedarwinproject.com](http://www.thedarwinproject.com) will encourage, report, and interlink global efforts in this direction. I should also add that this is the plot behind the key words for chapters, which otherwise might seem excessive. These extended key word listings make it possible for the busy student, busy teacher, and busy professional in any field to get a sense of the coverage for each chapter from an investment of only twenty or thirty seconds per quick scan.

All in all, this is an attempt to bring together in one place the provisions for what could become the Great Adventure for our time—a collaboration of bright students fresh to the experience, unweighted by outmoded scientific doctrine or dogma; with bright teachers liberated from the past, understanding the urgency of the mission; with well-wishers globally following their advance like those of us who swarm to construction sites and like to watch, all linked via the Internet in the building of the fully human theory and story of evolution.

By setting in motion this process that hypothetically could spread globally to colleges engaged in both on campus and distance learning, my strategy, frankly, is both to try to inspire and to shame their elders to rise above their differences, and to join those who are to live beyond us within this century either of immense opportunity or of doom if we fail, in doing what needs to be done.

## NOTES

1. See von Bertalanffy, *General Systems Theory*; Boulding, *Three Faces of Power*; Jantsch, *The Self-Actualizing Universe*; and Prigogine and Stengers, *Chaos and Order*.

2. This is a condensation of two brief histories of GERG, in Loye, *The Evolutionary Outrider*, and Loye, *Darwin's Lost Theory of Love*.

3. A selection of the rather astounding number of books exploring advanced aspects of evolution generated by members of GERG concludes my work in progress *Reclaiming Darwin: A Journey into the Underworld of Paradigm*.

4. These were published in a special issue of *World Futures* of which I was the editor: Loye, D. (Ed.), *The Third Venture: Toward a Humanistic Theory of Evolution*. *World Futures: The Journal of General Evolution*, 58, 2–3, 2002.

5. Romanes, *Darwin and after Darwin*, pp. 9–10. I am drawing here on the pioneering work of psychologist and science historian Robert J. Richards, whose *Darwin and the Emergence of Evolutionary Theories of Mind and Behavior* is not only by far the best but practically the only source for anything very meaningful or extensive on Romanes. It is also a basic book for the student-teacher venture I project for new theory builders in this book's last chapter.

6. *Ibid.*

7. *Ibid.* Fulfilling this prediction, the present book and my work in progress *Darwin's Truth* are the basic texts for the curricula for the student-teacher venture outlined in the last chapters of this book.

8. The best sources I have found on the by now almost forgotten Dabrowski and the rapidly fading Assagioli are two issues of the journal *Advanced Development: A Journal on Adult Giftedness*. For Dabrowski, see vol. 1, January 1989. For

Assagioli, see vol. 4, January 1992. They are available through the Institute for the Study of Advanced Development, 777 Pearl St., Denver, CO 80203.

9. See Schneider, Bugental, and Pierson, *Handbook of Humanistic Psychology*; Snyder and Lopez, *Handbook of Positive Psychology*; and Walsh, R., and Vaughan, F. *Paths beyond Ego*.

10. See Barkow and Tooby, *The Adapted Mind: Evolutionary Psychology and the Generation of Culture*. This favored basic text for evolutionary psychology is a striking demonstration of both the strengths and the arrogance and disparaging of other points of view that have been one of the serious weaknesses of the field.

11. See Barkow and Tooby for many surprises along this line.

12. Widely overlooked is the fact this was set forth in 1975 as a major challenge by E. O. Wilson, who launched the field with *Sociobiology*. Unfortunately, as I will detail in *Darwin's Unfolding Revolution* and *The Darwin Scam*, this led to the fixation on selfishness as the prime motivation even for altruism or doing good in any way that in numerous truncated and misleading studies has reinforced the rightward shift for our new age of greed.

13. With the needs of students in mind, I feel I should add this bit about my own student years. During the long pull to earn a Ph.D., with a wife and four children to support and a full-time job, I had to learn a great deal with very little time to do so. One of the most effective things I found was to focus on a single intriguing paper that was at first almost wholly unintelligible to me by a great psychologist I particularly admired who later became a close friend, the late Silvan Tomkins (see references). Into this single paper Silvan had packed a universe of knowledge, which forced me to scale mountain after mountain to comprehend it line by line. But at the end of the process I found I had learned more from this single difficult experience than from my typical skimming of forty to fifty other papers. Bradley's paper, I would suggest, as well as others here, can offer the student the same kind of gains.

## REFERENCES

- Assagioli, R. (1965). *Psychosynthesis: A manual of principles and techniques*. New York: Viking Press.
- Assagioli, R. (1973). *The act of will*. New York: Viking Press.
- Barkow, J. H., Cosmides, L., and Tooby, J. (1992). *The adapted mind: Evolutionary psychology and the generation of culture*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Boulding, K. E. (1989). *Three faces of power*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- Carlson, R. (1995). Silvan Tomkins' legacy. In V. Demos (Ed.) *Exploring affect: The selected writings of Silvan Tomkins*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

- Dabrowski, K. (1964). *Positive disintegration*. Boston: Little Brown.
- Jantsch, E. (1980). *The self-organizing universe*. New York: Pergamon Press.
- Lewin, K. (1951). *Field theory in social science*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Loye, D. (1971). *The healing of a nation*. New York: Norton.
- Loye, D. (Ed.). (1998). *The evolutionary outrider: The impact of the human agent on evolution*. Twickenham, England: Adamantine Press; Westport, CT: Praeger Books.
- Loye, D. (2000). *Darwin's lost theory of love: A healing vision for the new century*. New York: iUniverse.
- Loye, D. (Ed.). (2002). The third venture: Toward a humanistic theory of evolution. *World futures: The journal of general evolution* 58 (2–3): 2002.
- Marrow, A. (1969). *The practical theorist: The life and work of Kurt Lewin*. New York: Basic Books.
- Piechowski, M. M. (1975). A theoretical and empirical approach to the study of development. *Genetic psychology monographs* 92: 231–97.
- Prigogine, I., and Stengers, I. (1984). *Order out of chaos*. New York: Bantam.
- Richards, R. J. (1987). *Darwin and the emergence of evolutionary theories of mind and behavior*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Romanes, G. (1987). *Darwin and after Darwin*. Chicago: Open Court.
- Schneider, K. J., Bugental, J. F. T., and Pierson, J. F. (Eds.). (2001). *The handbook of humanistic psychology*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Snyder, C. R., and Lopez, S. J. (Eds.). (2001). *Handbook of positive psychology*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Tomkins, S. (1962–92). *Affect, imagery, consciousness*. Four volumes. New York: Springer.
- Von Bertalanffy, L. (1976). *General system theory*. New York: George Brazillier.
- Walsh, R., and Vaughan, F. (Eds.). (1993). *Paths beyond ego: The transpersonal vision*. Los Angeles: Tarcher.
- Wilson, E. O. (1975). *Sociobiology: The new synthesis*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.